

Slowly rotating fluid balls with linear equation of state

Gyula Fodor

KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics,
H-1525, Budapest 114, P.O.B. 49, Hungary
Department of Physics, Waseda University, 3-4-1 Okubo,
Shinjuku, Tokyo 169-8555, Japan

January 12, 2002

Abstract

Slowly rotating perfect fluid balls with regular center and asymptotically flat exterior are considered to second order in the rotation parameter. The necessary condition for being Petrov type D is given for general perfect fluid matter. As a special case, fluids with a linear equation of state are considered. Using a power series expansion at the regular center, it is shown that the Petrov D condition is inconsistent with the linear equation of state assumption.

1 Slowly rotating fluid balls

Rotating perfect fluid exact solutions of the Einstein equations would give great insights into the structure of astrophysical objects such as neutron stars and super-massive stars. Because of the complexity of the problem the usual method in trying to find such spacetimes is to make some a priori assumption, i. e. use an ansatz, and hope that solutions with that property will exist. Since the obtained solutions are very often unphysical, it would be valuable to have a simpler method which could decide about the physical suitability of the ansatz before making the effort to solve the problem in its

generality. The technique we propose in order to achieve this goal is to check whether the ansatz can remain valid in the slow rotation limit.

According to the work of Hartle[1], the metric of a slowly rotating fluid ball can be written in the form

$$ds^2 = (1 + 2h)Adt^2 - (1 + 2m)\frac{1}{B}dr^2 - (1 + 2k)r^2 \left[d\vartheta^2 + \sin^2 \vartheta (d\varphi - \omega dt)^2 \right] . \quad (1)$$

Here the functions A and B depend only on the radial coordinate r , determining the spherically symmetric basis solution. It follows from the existence of an asymptotically flat exterior vacuum region that the first order small function ω depends only on the r coordinate. The asymptotic flatness also implies that the second order small functions h , m and k have the following angular dependence

$$\begin{aligned} h &= h_0 + h_2 P_2(\cos \vartheta) , \\ m &= m_0 + m_2 P_2(\cos \vartheta) , \\ k &= k_2 P_2(\cos \vartheta) , \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where h_0 , h_2 , m_0 , m_2 and k_2 are functions of r and P_2 is the Legendre polynomial of order two. We assume that the fluid is rotating circularly and rigidly, and use a comoving coordinate system where the fluid velocity vector is proportional to $\partial/\partial t$.

To quadratic order in the angular velocity, the nonvanishing components of a comoving tetrad can be chosen for the metric (1) as

$$\begin{aligned} e_0^t &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \left(1 + \frac{\omega^2 r^2}{2A} \sin^2 \vartheta - h \right) , \\ e_1^r &= \sqrt{B}(1 - m) , \quad e_2^\vartheta = \frac{1 - k}{r} , \quad e_3^t = \frac{\omega r}{A} \sin \vartheta , \\ e_3^\varphi &= \frac{1}{r \sin \vartheta} \left(-1 + \frac{\omega^2 r^2}{2A} \sin^2 \vartheta + k \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We denote the tetrad components of the Einstein tensor by G_{ab} . The $(0, 0)$ and $(1, 1)$ components give the energy density and pressure

$$\mu = \frac{1}{r^2} \left[1 - \frac{d(rB)}{dr} \right] , \quad (4)$$

$$p = \frac{1}{r^2} \left[\frac{B}{A} \frac{d(rA)}{dr} - 1 \right] . \quad (5)$$

The only field equation is the pressure isotropy condition $G_{11} = G_{22}$,

$$4r^2 B \sqrt{rA} \left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} \sqrt{\frac{A}{r}} \right) + \frac{dB}{dr} \frac{d(r^2 A)}{dr} + A(4 - 7B) = 0 . \quad (6)$$

This equation together with an equation of state $\mu = \mu(p)$ and a central density value $\mu = \mu_0$ determine uniquely the functions A and B describing some spherically symmetric perfect fluid solution[2][3]. We note that the square roots are introduced only in order to combine terms together. After expanding the derivatives no square roots remain in (6) and in the rest of the equations.

Calculating the field equations to first order in the small angular velocity, one obtains only one independent relation. The $(0, 3)$ component of Einstein equation gives a second order ordinary differential equation for ω ,

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left(r^4 \sqrt{\frac{B}{A}} \frac{d\omega}{dr} \right) + 4r^3 \omega \frac{d}{dr} \left(\sqrt{\frac{B}{A}} \right) = 0 . \quad (7)$$

The only case when the rotation function ω is known in terms of elementary functions is the rotating Whittaker space-time. Among the rotating states of the Whittaker fluid, there is the exactly known Petrov type D Wahlquist solution. The rotating Whittaker fluid and its matching to a vacuum exterior is dealt with in [4] and [5].

Calculating the field equations to second order in the rotational parameter we get differential equations for the functions h_2 , m_2 , k_2 , h_0 and m_0 . The $(1, 2)$ component of Einstein equations gives

$$2rA \frac{d}{dr} (h_2 + k_2) + r(h_2 - m_2) \frac{dA}{dr} - 2A(h_2 + m_2) = 0 . \quad (8)$$

The isotropic pressure condition $G_{22} = G_{33}$ yields,

$$6A(h_2 + m_2) - r^4 B \left(\frac{d\omega}{dr} \right)^2 + 2r^3 \omega^2 A \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{B}{A} \right) = 0 . \quad (9)$$

After subtracting $3rAB$ times the derivative of (8) and using it again to eliminate the derivative of h_2 , the $P_2(\cos \vartheta)$ part of the pressure isotropy condition $G_{11} = G_{22}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} & r^2 B \frac{dA}{dr} \frac{dk_2}{dr} - rA \frac{dB}{dr} h_2 - rB \frac{dA}{dr} m_2 + 2ABh_2 \\ & + A(m_2 - 4k_2 - 5h_2) - \frac{1}{3} r^4 B \left(\frac{d\omega}{dr} \right)^2 = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Equations (8), (9) and (10) together with the regularity condition at the center can be used to solve for the functions h_2 , m_2 and k_2 determining the shape of the fluid ball. The ϑ independent part of $G_{11} = G_{22}$ yields the only field equation for h_0 and m_0

$$6r^3\sqrt{B}\frac{d}{dr}\left(\frac{1}{r}A\sqrt{B}\frac{dh_0}{dr}\right) - 3B\frac{d(r^2A)}{dr}\frac{dm_0}{dr} + 12Am_0 - 3Br^4\left(\frac{d\omega}{dr}\right)^2 + 2r^3A\omega^2\frac{d}{dr}\left(\frac{B}{A}\right) = 0. \quad (11)$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial\vartheta}\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial p}{\partial\vartheta}\frac{\partial\mu}{\partial r} \quad (12)$$

is satisfied identically after applying (8), (9) and (10), the existence of a barotropic equation of state $\mu = \mu(p)$ does not bring any new condition. Of course, this holds only in the slow rotational limit, up to second order in the rotational parameter. Let us write $\mu = \mu_0 + \mu_2$ and $p = p_0 + p_2$, where μ_0 and p_0 denote the value of the density and pressure in the nonrotating limit and μ_2 and p_2 are the second order small changes for slow rotation. If we assume that the equation of state remains the same for the rotating configuration, then $\mu_2 = \frac{d\mu}{dp}p_2$, and since μ_2 and p_2 is already second order small $\mu_2\frac{dp_0}{dr} = p_2\frac{d\mu_0}{dr}$. Calculating and writing out in detail

$$\begin{aligned} & 24rA^2\left[r^2\frac{d^2B}{dr^2} + 2(1-B)\right]\frac{dh_0}{dr} + 12r^3A^2\frac{dA}{dr}\frac{dm_0}{dr}\frac{d}{dr}\left(\frac{B}{A}\right) \\ & + A\left[2r^2\frac{d^2B}{dr^2} - r^2\frac{dA}{dr}\frac{d}{dr}\left(\frac{B}{A}\right) + 4(1-B)\right] \times \\ & \times \left[r^4\left(\frac{d\omega}{dr}\right)^2 - 12Am_0\right] + 4r^5\frac{A^2}{B}\frac{dA}{dr}\left[\frac{d}{dr}\left(\frac{B}{A}\right)\right]^2\omega^2 \\ & + 12r\frac{dA}{dr}\left[4A(B-1) - r^2\frac{dA}{dr}\frac{dB}{dr} - 4r^2A\sqrt{B}\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2}\sqrt{B}\right)\right]m_0 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Equations (11) and (13) can be used to determine the ϑ independent parts h_0 and m_0 of the second order small perturbation functions.

2 Petrov D conditions

Since apart from the conformally flat interior Schwarzschild solution all static spherically symmetric spacetimes are Petrov type D, a natural ansatz in trying to find rotating exact solutions is to assume that they have some special Petrov type. In the rest of the paper we investigate whether this assumption can remain valid in the slow rotation limit.

The electric and magnetic curvature components are defined in terms of the tetrad components of the Weyl tensor as follows [6]:

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= C_{1010} , & E_2 &= C_{2020} , & E_3 &= C_{1020} , \\ H_1 &= {}^*C_{1010} , & H_2 &= {}^*C_{2020} , & H_3 &= {}^*C_{1020} . \end{aligned}$$

If we do not consider cosmological solutions with $\mu = -p$ constant the Petrov type of the spacetime is D if and only if the following two conditions hold [7]

$$2E_1^2 + 5E_1E_2 + 2E_2^2 - E_3^2 - 2H_1^2 - 5H_1H_2 - 2H_2^2 + H_3^2 = 0 , \quad (14)$$

$$4E_1H_1 + 5E_1H_2 + 5E_2H_1 + 4E_2H_2 - 2E_3H_3 = 0 . \quad (15)$$

Equation (15) is always identically satisfied to second order, while (14) gives the simple condition

$$\frac{3A^3}{B^4} \left[r \frac{dB}{dr} + 2(1 - B) \right] (h_2 - m_2) = r^4 \omega^4 \left(\frac{d}{dr} \frac{A}{B\omega} \right)^2 . \quad (16)$$

This condition is derived without assuming any specific equation of state for the matter. In order the spacetime to be Petrov D, equation (16) must be consistent with (6), (7), (8), (9) and (10).

If

$$r \frac{dB}{dr} + 2(1 - B) = 0 \quad (17)$$

then $B = 1 - c_1 r^2$, where c_1 is some constant. Substituting into (6), one gets a second order differential equation for A , the general solution of which is

$$A = c_3 \left(\sqrt{1 - c_1 r^2} + c_2 \right)^2 . \quad (18)$$

This A and B describes exactly the interior Schwarzschild solution, for which it has been shown that cannot remain Petrov type D when set into slow rotation [7]. This can also be seen easily in the present formalism in the

following way. From (16) it follows that $\omega = c_4 A/B$, where c_4 is some constant. However, this ω is not a solution of the first order field equation (7).

It follows that for all rotating Petrov D spacetimes $r \frac{dB}{dr} + 2(1 - B)$ is nonzero. In this case equations (16) and (9) can be solved algebraically for h_2 and m_2 , and then a linear combination of (8) and (10) can be solved algebraically for k_2 . Substituting back to (8) again, one obtains a lengthy differential equation containing only A , B , ω and their derivatives. Since this equation is 293 terms long, we will refer to it as e_{293} from now on.

It is possible to eliminate ω from e_{293} and its r coordinate derivatives. One first obtains a lengthy equation linear in $\frac{d\omega}{dr}$ by taking a linear combination of e_{293} and its first derivative. It is also possible to calculate a similarly linear equation by combining e_{293} and its second derivative. A linear combination of these two equations gives an enormous differential equation which does not contain ω at all. Collecting all terms to one side, this equation turns out to be the product of a 65 terms long and a 2446 terms long polynomial, which we call e_{65} and e_{2446} respectively. These equations are polynomial in A , $\frac{dA}{dr}$, B and the derivatives of B . The shorter equation contains up to third derivatives of B , while the longer contains even fifth derivatives. It seems no other way to avoid these higher derivatives than to use some specific equation of state for the fluid.

It is also possible to completely eliminate ω by taking combinations of e_{293} and only its first derivative. The resulting equation, however, turns out to be even longer, the product of e_{65} and a 6774 terms long polynomial, e_{6774} . There does not seem to be any easy way to combine e_{6774} with e_{2446} in order to get a shorter equation.

The field equation (6) and e_{65} or e_{2446} could be, in principle, solved for the functions A and B . This indicates that e_{65} or e_{2446} essentially plays the role of an equation of state for the fluid. Because of the complicated form of these polynomials it seems hopeless to use (4) and (5) to get an equation of state in the form $\mu \equiv \mu(p)$. A possible way to proceed is to check whether e_{65} or e_{2446} may be consistent with some simpler but physical equation of states.

3 Linear equation of state

In the rest of the paper we investigate slowly rotating perfect fluid balls with the equation of state $\mu = \alpha p + \beta$, where α and β are some constants. Using

(4) and (5), we can write the equation of state as

$$1 - \frac{d(rB)}{dr} = \alpha \frac{B}{A} \frac{d(rA)}{dr} - \alpha + \beta r^2 . \quad (19)$$

We will use this equation to eliminate the first derivative of the function B . After separating two factors, $f_1 = \frac{dA}{dr}$ and

$$f_2 = (\alpha + 1)B \frac{d(rA)}{dr} + A(\beta r^2 - \alpha - 1) , \quad (20)$$

e_{293} becomes 364 terms long.

If $f_1 = 0$ then A is constant, and the general solution of (6) is $B = 1 - cr^2$, where c is some constant. This is the Einstein static universe with $\mu = -3p = 3c$. Since in this paper we are only interested in spacetimes describing isolated rotating bodies with regular center and a $p = 0$ surface, we do not study the rotating version of this solution.

The $f_2 = 0$ case also contains only cosmological solutions. If $\alpha + 1$ nonzero and rA is not constant then we can use (20) to express B . Substituting into the field equation (6) we get

$$(\alpha + 1 - \beta r^3) \frac{d^2 A}{dr^2} + 2(\alpha + 1) \frac{dA}{dr} + 2\beta r A = 0 . \quad (21)$$

The general solution of this equation is

$$A = \frac{c_1}{r} + c_2 \left[1 - \frac{\beta}{3(\alpha + 1)} r^2 \right] , \quad (22)$$

where c_1 and c_2 are constants. The energy density and pressure, using (4) and (5), turns out to be constant

$$\mu = -p = \frac{\beta}{\alpha + 1} . \quad (23)$$

However, this spacetime is regular at the center $r = 0$ only if $c_1 = 0$, in which case it is just the de Sitter solution. The rA constant subcase is always irregular at the center. If we look at the $\alpha = -1$ subcase, then from $f_2 = 0$ it follows that $\beta = 0$, and the equation of state (19) shows that B/A must be constant. Substituting into the field equation, it turns out that the only solution with a regular center is the de Sitter solution. Since we are not

investigating cosmological solutions in the present paper, in the following we assume that f_1 and f_2 are nonzero.

Substituting for the derivative of B from (19) and dropping an $f_1 f_2$ factor, e_{65} becomes 80 terms long, but after dividing by $(\alpha + 3)^2 f_1^3 f_2^3$, the polynomial e_{2446} reduces to 574 terms. The $\alpha = -3$ case is the Whittaker solution. One of its rotating generalizations is the Petrov D Wahlquist solution. All other rotating versions of it belong to the algebraically general class. Unfortunately, the 574 term equation is still too long to prevent us from eliminating A or B by taking derivatives and linear combinations of them.

A particularly simple case is the equation of state $\mu = p$ with $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$. Then e_{293} is only 36 terms long, e_{65} becomes 8 terms, and e_{2446} is 29 terms long. Taking repeated linear combinations of the equation e_{2446} (or e_{65}) and its first derivative in order to eliminate the highest power of $\frac{dA}{dr}$, in the end one gets a product of long polynomials containing only B . Since the coordinate r does not appear, this means that B must be constant. Solving the equation of state $\mu = p$ for $\frac{dA}{dr}$ and substituting into the field equation (6) it turns out that B can only be 1 or $\frac{1}{2}$. The $B = 1$ case is just the Minkowski spacetime. For $B = \frac{1}{2}$ one obtains $A = c_1 r^2$, where c_1 is some constant. However, since $\mu = p = \frac{1}{2r^2}$, this solution is singular at the center. This example shows how important it is to consider the regularity conditions at the center of the fluid ball.

4 Expansion around a regular center

A spherically symmetric spacetime described by the functions A and B is regular at the center of the fluid ball if the limit of B is 1 and the limit of A is a positive constant at $r = 0$. The $B \rightarrow 1$ condition ensures that the surface per radius ratio of small spheres is the proper flat space value.

In order to know if there are Petrov type D rotating perfect fluids with a linear equation of state one has to decide whether there are solutions A and B of the field equation (6) and the linear equation of state condition (19) which also satisfies the Petrov D consistency condition e_{65} or e_{2446} . Even though e_{2446} is only 574 terms long for the linear equation of state case, it appears to be an extremely difficult task to build up some shorter solvable combination of e_{2446} and its derivatives. A computationally feasible way to get around this problem is to calculate a power series expansion solution of

(6) and (19) and check if it does satisfy the consistency condition e_{65} or e_{2446} . Since we are interested in configurations which are regular at the center of the fluid ball, it is practical to do the expansion around the center $r = 0$. We take the expansion of the functions A and B in the form

$$A = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i r^i, \quad B = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B_i r^i, \quad (24)$$

where A_i and B_i are constants. Regularity at the center requires that $B_0 = 1$ and also implies that A_i and B_i are zero for odd i . Then two freely specifiable parameter remains in the solution of the equations (6) and (19), which we choose to be A_0 and B_2 . For convenience we introduce the notations $A_0 = a$ and $B_2 = b$ for them. Substituting the expansion (24) into (6) and (19), the rest of the expansion coefficients can be calculated recursively. To give a picture about their structure we list the first few:

$$A_2 = -\frac{a}{2\alpha}[b(\alpha+3)+\beta] \quad (25)$$

$$A_4 = -\frac{a}{80\alpha^2}[b(\alpha+3)+\beta][3b(\alpha+1)+\beta](\alpha-5) \quad (26)$$

$$B_4 = \frac{1}{20\alpha}[b(\alpha+3)+\beta][3b(\alpha+1)+\beta] \quad (27)$$

$$A_6 = -\frac{a}{168\alpha}[4b(\alpha-1)(\alpha-9)+\beta(3\alpha-23)]B_4 \quad (28)$$

$$B_6 = \frac{1}{28\alpha}[b(4\alpha^2+9\alpha+15)+\beta(3\alpha+5)]B_4 \quad (29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_8 = & -\frac{a}{24192\alpha^2}[b^2(\alpha-13)(\alpha-3)(61\alpha^2+6\alpha+45) \\ & +6b\beta(15\alpha^3-211\alpha^2+321\alpha+195) \\ & +\beta^2(31\alpha^2-354\alpha+195)]B_4 \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_8 = & \frac{1}{3024\alpha^2}[b^2(61\alpha^4+200\alpha^3+618\alpha^2+864\alpha+945) \\ & +6b\beta(15\alpha^3+44\alpha^2+96\alpha+105) \\ & +\beta^2(31\alpha^2+96\alpha+105)]B_4 \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_{10} = & -\frac{a}{13305600\alpha^3}[6b^3(\alpha-5)(\alpha-17)(629\alpha^4-350\alpha^3 \\ & +768\alpha^2-378\alpha+675)+b\beta^2(8275\alpha^5-173868\alpha^4 \\ & +618128\alpha^3-44841\alpha^2+111645\alpha+344250) \\ & +6b\beta^2(962\alpha^4-18225\alpha^3+46297\alpha^2+28065\alpha \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

$$+19125) + \beta^3(1261\alpha^3 - 20672\alpha^2 + 33285\alpha + 12750)]B_4 .$$

It turns out that in order to be able to decide about the Petrov D condition one has to calculate this expansion at least up to $i = 16$. The calculations were performed using the algebraic computer language Reduce[8].

Substituting the power series expansion forms of A and B into the Petrov D consistency condition e_{65} or e_{2446} , they have the form

$$e_{65} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i r^i , \quad e_{2446} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} D_i r^i , \quad (33)$$

where the constant coefficients C_i and D_i can be calculated in terms of A_i and B_i .

The first nonvanishing coefficients in e_{65} are

$$C_6 = \frac{a^3}{\alpha} [b(\alpha + 3) + \beta]^2 [3b(\alpha + 1) + \beta] \quad (34)$$

$$C_8 = \frac{C_6}{50\alpha} [b(22\alpha^2 - 37\alpha - 189) + 7\beta(2\alpha - 9)] \quad (35)$$

$$C_{10} = \frac{C_6}{2800\alpha^2} [\beta^2(144\alpha^2 - 1075\alpha + 1635) + b\beta(467\alpha^3 - 2624\alpha^2 - 1509\alpha - 9810) + b^2(355\alpha^4 - 1348\alpha^3 - 5430\alpha^2 + 5148\alpha + 14715)] . \quad (36)$$

In order to be Petrov D in the $e_{65} = 0$ subcase, all C_i must vanish. Since all coefficients contain the factor C_6 , this is equivalent to the $C_6 = 0$ condition. The constant a cannot be zero, since A would also be zero then.

If $b(\alpha + 3) + \beta = 0$ then $A = a$ constant, and

$$B = 1 - \frac{\beta}{\alpha + 3} r^2 . \quad (37)$$

This is the Einstein Universe with

$$\mu = -3p = \frac{3\beta}{\alpha + 3} . \quad (38)$$

If $3b(\alpha + 1) + \beta = 0$, then

$$B = \frac{C}{a} = 1 - \frac{\beta}{3(\alpha + 1)} r^2 , \quad (39)$$

which is the de Sitter solution with

$$\mu = -p = \frac{\beta}{\alpha + 1} . \quad (40)$$

Hence we have proven that the condition e_{65} is inconsistent with (6) and (19) if one considers fluid balls with a regular center and asymptotically flat exterior.

The first nonvanishing coefficients in e_{2446} turn out to be

$$D_{12} = \frac{72a^8}{25\alpha^4} [b(\alpha + 3) + \beta]^2 [3b(\alpha + 1) + \beta]^2 [b(11\alpha + 21) + 7\beta] [b(5\alpha^2 + 54\alpha + 9) + \beta(5\alpha + 3)] \quad (41)$$

$$D_{14} = \frac{6a^8}{175\alpha^5} [b(\alpha + 3) + \beta]^2 [3b(\alpha + 1) + \beta]^2 [b^3(5083\alpha^5 + 59975\alpha^4 + 76170\alpha^3 + 181170\alpha^2 + 443691\alpha + 16119) + b^2\beta(11954\alpha^4 + 102947\alpha^3 + 204195\alpha^2 + 378369\alpha + 16119) + b\beta^2(9071\alpha^3 + 54535\alpha^2 + 104349\alpha + 5373) + \beta^3(2200\alpha^2 + 9175\alpha + 597)] . \quad (42)$$

All coefficients D_i contain the same two factors as C_i , however, as we have seen earlier, these factors are only vanishing for cosmological spacetimes. Calculating also D_{16} , the non-common factors in these coefficients can be shown to be mutually contradictory. Hence we have proven

Theorem: A slowly rotating perfect fluid configuration with linear equation of state, regular center and asymptotically flat exterior cannot be Petrov type D.

Our method of deciding about the Petrov type can be relatively easily generalized to perfect fluids with non-linear equations of states as well. The algebraic calculations become more complicated, but the essential point is that there is no need to solve differential equations in the process. Another important future work would be to check if, apart from the Petrov types, various other physical properties or generalized symmetries may or may not remain valid in the slow rotation limit. That research could yield the first step towards finding a physically realistic rotating perfect fluid exact solution.

5 Acknowledgment

This work has been supported by OTKA grant T022533 and by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. The author would like to thank Z. Perjés and M. Bradley for fruitful discussions.

References

- [1] J. B. Hartle, *Astrophys. J.* **150**, 1005 (1967)
- [2] A. D. Rendall and B. G. Schmidt, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **8**, 985 (1991)
- [3] L. Lindblom and A. K. M. Masood-ul-Alam, *Commun. Math Phys.* **162**, 123 (1994)
- [4] M. Bradley, G. Fodor, M. Marklund and Z. Perjés, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **17**, 351 (2000)
- [5] M. Bradley, G. Fodor, and Z. Perjés, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **17**, 2635, (2000)
- [6] G. Fodor, M. Marklund and Z. Perjés, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **16**, 453 (1999)
- [7] G. Fodor, and Z. Perjés, to appear in *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, *gr-qc/9911068*
- [8] A. Hearn, Reduce 3.6 User's manual, RAND, Santa Monica (1995)